## <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO:

THE COMMISSION

STAFF DIRECTOR GENERAL COUNSEL FEC PRESS OFFICE

FEC PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

FROM:

**COMMISSION SECRETARY** 

DATE:

**AUGUST 17, 2005** 

SUBJECT:

**COMMENT: DRAFT AO 2005-10** 

Transmitted herewith is a timely submitted comment by Representative Howard L. Berman regarding the above-captioned matter.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2005-10 is on the agenda for Thursday, August 18, 2005.

**Attachment** 

HOWARD L. BERMAN 28TH DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA

COMMITTEE ON
THE JUDICIARY
RANKING MEMBER, SUBCOMMITTEE ON
COURTS, THE INTERNET
AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
IMMIGRATION AND CLAIMS

COMMITTEE ON
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
THE MIDDLE EAST AND CENTRAL ASIA



## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

August 17, 2005

WASHINGTON OFFICE Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-0528 202-225-4695

DISTRICT OFFICE 14546 Hamlin Street, Suite 202 Van Nuys, CA 91411-4128 818-994-7200

www.house.gov/berman

Federal Election Commission 999 E Street N.W. Washington, DC 20463

BY FAX:

TO THE COMMISSIONERS:

2005 AUG 17 A II:

RECEIVED
SIDRAL ELECTION
COMPISSION
COMPISSION

I have joined with Congressman Doolittle in requesting Commission approval of our right, as federal elected officials, to raise funds under state law for the initiatives scheduled for a vote on the November ballot in California. The General Counsel has recommended to the Commission that it reject this request. I am deeply concerned about the reasoning behind this recommendation and the result that it would compel, and I am writing to express my concerns on the record. I have also contacted Commissioners directly to express these concerns, pursuant to Commission rules that provide that these contacts also be noted, by summary prepared by the Commissioners, for the record.

As a Member of Congress, I should have the same right as the Governor of the state and other state elected officials to participate in all aspects of the campaign on these initiatives. The Governor has called this election, authorizing the forming of committees to place these questions on the ballot and providing them, through his personal fundraising efforts and those of his authorized representatives, with the funding that these committees need. My constituents would expect no less from me; and this expectation is one that every Member of Congress in California will need to meet in the coming months of debate on the vital issues presented by these initiatives.

As a supporter of campaign finance reform throughout my career, who voted for the BCRA, I am unable to see how the approval of our request would endanger any of the legitimate objectives of this reform. The General Counsel's draft, as I read it, acknowledges that the law as enacted does not prohibit in express terms activities such as those we propose, conducted in an off-year to influence ballot initiatives.

The draft seems to rely on an Opinion issued to Congressman Flake in completely dissimilar circumstances. Also, as pointed out by our counsel in comments filed today, the effect of adoption of the draft will be to open up serious inconsistencies in the application of BCRA, allowing candidates in other cases, where the electoral benefit to

them is clear, to raise far more money than federal officeholders who seek to support ballot initiative campaigns without any connection to their pending reelection efforts.

The counsel will speak for us on the law. What I can add here is the perspective and concern of a Member of Congress elected to represent the interests of his constituents and to satisfy the obligations of his office. In a circumstance like this--one that is highly unusual and non-recurring, involving no prospect of advantage to our re-election efforts next year--any doubt about Congressional intent should be resolved in favor of permitting us to participate in this campaign on the same basis, with the same rights, as state elected officials.

war F. Berman

Member of Congress